68

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR: CHHATTISGARH

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri H.L. Dattu, CJ.

Contempt Petition No.78 OF 2005

Petitioner Smt. Uma Singh, W/o. Surendra Kumar Singh, Aged about 59 years, R/o. Kanya Shiksha Parisar, Ambikapur, Surguja (C.G.)

Vs.

Respondents

1. Shri M.K. Rawat
Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Shri Manoj Pingua
(Collector Ambikapur), Collector Bungalow (Collector Residence) D.C. Road, Ambikapur, Surguja (C.G.)

3. Shri K.R. Thakur,
Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department, Ambikapur, Officers Colony, Gandhi Chowk Namanakala, Ambikapur, Surguja (C.G.)

4. Ku. Satyavati Kindo,
Principal Government Hr. Sec. School Darima, D/o. Shri Siyazar Kindo, R/o. Ward No.8, Patparia, Post Raghawapuri, P.S. Gandhinagar, Tahsil Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

Present: Shri Vaibhav Goverdhan, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri N.K. Agrawal, Dy. Advocate General for the respondents.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 15th March, 2007)

This Court by its order dated 09.05.2005, passed in Writ Petition No.1863/2005 had issued the following directions:

> "Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also looking to the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioner which appears to be just and

(4) 69

fair, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with copy of this petition to respondent No.1 within a period of 15 days from today and in turn respondent No.1 will decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in an objective manner in accordance with law, as far as possible try to adjust the petitioner in the nearby area so as to enable her to attend the daily needs of her father-in-law. Till then, the petitioner should not be relieved, if she is already not relieved."

(2) The respondents had passed an order dated 10.06.2005 relieving the petitioner from the post she was holding and they have transferred her to another place. Aggrieved by that order, she had already filed a writ petition before this Court and the same is pending for consideration.

(3) In my opinion, in view of this development, the relief sought for in this contempt petition would not survive for consideration of this Court. Therefore, the contempt proceedings are dropped. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice